## Task Force on Network Storage Architecture: Storage for ASCI

Kim Minuzzo and Dave Wiltzius Lawrence Livermore National Lab (LLNL), Livermore CA minuzzo1@llnl.gov

## Position Statement

The Accelerated Strategic Computing Initiative (ASCI) is a critical element of the Department of Energy's (DOE) response to the recent decision to end nuclear testing. will provide computational stewardship capabilities for stockpile without nuclear testing by pushing the computing industry to develop highperformance computers with processing speeds and memory capacities 1000's times greater than currently available. I/O is a critical problem because of increasing imbalances between processing memory capabilities, and I/O and storage While performance of commodity microprocessors is improving at rates in the range of 50% to 100% per year, device I/O performance is only improving at a rate of 35% or less per year. Parallelism and other mechanisms must be exploited to obtain the levels of I/O performance required by ASCI.

Through ASCI, LLNL will have a system on January, 1999 with the following: 4096 PowerPC processors; 1.0 TFLOPS/s sustained (3.2 TFLOPS/s peak); 2.5 TBs of memory; 75 TBs of local disk. To maintain a system balance between this parallel processor and external I/O we predict the need for at least a 1 PB archive that can sustain a transfer rate of 8-10GB/s. In the year 2003 ASCI machines are targeted to be capable of 100 TFLOPS/s and have 50TB of memory requiring a 100-200PB storage system with a transfer rate of 100-150GB/s. To achieve these capacities and transfer rates a scalable, network-centric architecture that supports striped, parallel I/O across large numbers (100-1000's) of network attached is required. The plan devices

developing this storage system is to mirror the development of the ASCI compute platforms: commodity components coupled together to form systems with the required performance. The network attached storage device is a key component for our planned architecture.

The DOE Labs have explored various network storage issues in a heterogeneous computer environment. Based on our experiences we offer the following observations regarding:

Data throughput. We achieved 30computers using 60MB/s with different obtain IPI-3 over HIPPI. To this HIPPI performance customized API. hardware, and IPI-3 drivers combined with a high-end RAID system were required. TCP/IP performance issues are understood published literature analyzing Our bottlenecks hosts. on performance numbers have increased with workstations, new releases operating systems, and new host adapter hardware and software. We measured I/O performance delivered to the application by a few SCSI attached RAID systems. can achieve performance up to 18MB/s accessing the RAID systems using raw I/O, compared to 3MB/s with UNIX I/O.

Scalability in capacity and throughput. Early performance tests using a distributed HSM under development indicates that scalability is an achievable goal (data transfers occur across an IBM SP2 interconnect using TCP/IP):

of	Aggregate	
Disks	Transfe	er Rate
	112.1	MByte/s
	174.7	MByte/s
	334.0	MByte/s
ĺ	636.9	MByte/s
		Disks   Transfe   112.1   174.7   334.0

Data abstraction. Current I/O protocols are at the extremes of abstraction: Block I/O (e.g., SCSI, IPI-3) and file I/O (e.g., NFS, AFS). High performance I/O often uses techniques that require the file directory services be separate from the data storage server, making file I/O storage systems undesirable.

Hierarchical storage. Network attached storage efforts should be extensible to other storage media, particularly tape.

## In summary:

• We consider competitively priced network attached storage strategically

important to meet our scalable (capacity and performance) storage needs.

- Many bottlenecks must be resolved to utilize high speed I/O media.
- The level of data abstraction can be elevated considerably from block I/O, and be beneficial to high performance HSMs
- Anticipate network attached storage throughout the storage hierarchy.
- HSMs can utilize this scalable storage!

The LLNL work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Dept. of Energy under contract no. W-7405-Eng-48. UCRL-JC-125616 Abs.